## McDermott Will&Emery

Boston Brussels Chicago Düsseldorf Frankfurt Houston London Los Angeles Miami Milan Munich New York Orange County Paris Rome Seoul Silicon Valley Washington, D.C. Strategic alliance with MWE China Law Offices (Shanghai)

U.S. practice conducted through McDermott Will & Emery LLP.

Arthur G. Sapper Attorney at Law asapper@mwe.com 202.756.8246

500 North Capitol Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20001-1531

Facsimile: 202.756.8087 www.mwe.com

October 24, 2013

## BY ELECTRONIC MAIL (washoshrccommission@oshrc.gov)

The Honorable Ray H. Darling, Jr., Executive Secretary Occupational Safety and Health Review Commission

Re: Kiewit Power Constructors Co., OSHRC Docket No. 11-2395

Dear Mr. Darling:

By analogy to FED.R.APP.P. 28(j), KPCC respectfully cites the following additional authorities for the proposition that *Chevron* is inapplicable if a matter must be "clear": *INS v. St. Cyr*, 533 U.S. 289, 320 n.45 (2001) (because retroactivity must be "clear" (*id.* at 316), "there is, for *Chevron* purposes, no ambiguity ... to resolve"); *Zivkovic v. Holder*, 724 F.3d 894, 898-900 (7th Cir. 2013) (under *St. Cyr*, requirement that retroactivity be clear "eliminates ambiguity from the picture"; *Chevron* and *FCC v. Arlington*, 133 S.Ct. 1863 (2013), inapplicable); *Zuluaga Martinez v. INS*, 523 F.3d 365, 372 (2d Cir. 2008) (because retroactivity must be "clear," "statutory silence" does not "trigger *Chevron* deference," citing *St. Cyr*), *cert. denied*, 555 U.S. 1170 (2009); *Ledezma-Galicia v. Holder*, 636 F.3d 1059, 1067, 1075 (9th Cir. 2010) (implied repeal; "*Chevron* deference has no role to play" because "clear" showing required, citing *St. Cyr*), *cert. denied*, 555 U.S. 1170 (2009).

The additional authorities pertain to the argument made at KPCC Br. 17; KPCC Reply Br. 2-3; Sec. Br. 16; and Sec. Reply Br. 2-4.

Please distribute this letter to the Commission and the General Counsel, and electronically acknowledge receipt to <u>asapper@mwe.com</u>.

Respectfully submitted,

apper

/s/ Arthur G. Sapper

cc: Messrs. Glabman and Joseph

## Stansbury, Terrell D.

From:	washoshrccommission
Sent:	Thursday, October 24, 2013 4:31 PM
To:	Darling, Jr., Ray H.; Acree, Stella K.; Besera, Eden; Stansbury, Terrell D.
Subject:	FW: Kiewit Power Constructors, Inc., OSHRC Docket No. 11-2395
Attachments:	10_24_13 KPCC Rule 28(j) Letter.pdf
Importance:	High

From: Sapper, Arthur G. [asapper@mwe.com]
Sent: Thursday, October 24, 2013 4:23 PM
To: washoshrccommission
Cc: 'Glabman.Scott@DOL.GOV'; 'LJoseph@LarryJoseph.com'
Subject: Kiewit Power Constructors, Inc., OSHRC Docket No. 11-2395

Dear Mr. Darling:

Attached is a letter from KPCC in the above-entitled case.

Respectfully submitted,

Arthur G. Sapper, attorney for Kiewit Power

Constructors Co.

Arthur G. Sapper McDermott Will & Emery LLP 500 North Capitol Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20001-1531 202-756-8246 (fax) 202-756-8087 (cell) 202-664-9644 asapper@mwe.com

 IRS Circular 230 Disclosure: To comply with requirements imposed by the IRS, we inform you that any U.S. federal tax advice contained herein (including any attachments), unless specifically stated otherwise, is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purposes of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter herein.

This message is a PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL communication. This message and all attachments are a private communication sent by a law firm and may be confidential or protected by privilege. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the information contained in or attached to this message is strictly prohibited. Please notify the sender of the delivery error by replying to this message, and then delete it from your system. Thank you.

Please visit <u>http://www.mwe.com/</u> for more information about our Firm.