UNITED STATES OF AMERICA OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH REVIEW COMMISSION

SECRETARY OF LABOR,

Complainant,

v.

Docket No. 96-1720

CARMEN PALIOTTA CONTRACTING CO.,

Respondent.

Appearances: Myrna Butkovitz, Esq.

Office of the Solicitor of Labor US Department of Labor For Complainant Tarek F. Abdalla, Esq.
Babst, Calland, Clements & Zomnir
Pittsburgh, PA
For Respondent

Before: Michael H. Schoenfeld, Administrative Law Judge

DECISION AND ORDER

I Background and Procedural History

This case arises under the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970, 29 U.S.C. § § 651 - 678 (1970) ("the Act").

Having had a worksite in Ohiophyle, Ohio, inspected by a compliance officer of the Occupational Safety and Health Administration ("OSHA"), Carmen Paliotta Contracting Company ("Respondent"), was issued one citation alleging three serious violations of the Act and one citation alleging three willful violations. A total penalty of \$158,900.00 was proposed. Respondent timely contested. The case came on to be heard on October 7, 8 and 9, 1997 in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania. No affected employees sought to assert party status.

Jurisdiction

Complainant alleges and Respondent does not deny that it is engaged in construction and demolition. Respondent does not deny that it uses tools, equipment and supplies which have moved in interstate commerce and conducts a business affecting interstate commerce.

Discussion

The parties announced after the hearing that in accordance with Commission Rule 100, 29 C.F.R. § 2200.100, a settlement had been reached.

The terms of the settlement have been reduced to writing and have been submitted. The terms of the settlement meet the requirements of Commission Rule 100(b), 29 C.F.R. § 2200.100(b). Accordingly, the settlement is approved under 5 U.S.C. § 554(c)(1) and Commission Rule 100. The terms of the stipulated settlement are incorporated, in their entirety, by reference in this order.

Dated:		Michael H. Schoenfeld
		Judge, OSHRC
	Washington, DC	