UNITED STATES OF AMERICA OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH REVIEW COMMISSION

SECRETARY OF LABOR,)
Complainant))
V.)
IMPERIAL SUGAR COMPANY; IMPERIAL-SAVANNAH, L.P.)))))
Respondents.))

Docket No. 08-1104

<u>RESPONDENTS' PROPOSED ORDER ON</u> <u>MOTION TO DISMISS</u>

Pursuant to the February 10, 2009 Notice of Hearing, Scheduling Order and Special

Notices, attached is a proposed order regarding Respondents' Motion to Dismiss, filed on

January 27, 2009.

Respectfully submitted, this 26th day of February, 2009.

<u>/s/ Charles H. Morgan</u> Charles H. Morgan charlie.morgan@alston.com Matthew J. Gilligan matt.gilligan@alston.com Ashley D. Brightwell ashley.brightwell@alston.com Jeremy D. Tucker jeremy.tucker@alston.com ALSTON & BIRD LLP 1201 West Peachtree Street Atlanta, Georgia 30309-3424 (404) 881-7000 FAX: (404) 253-8757

Attorneys for Respondents

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH REVIEW COMMISSION

SECRETARY OF LABOR,)
Complainant,	
V.	
IMPERIAL SUGAR COMPANY; IMPERIAL-SAVANNAH, L.P.	
Respondents.	

OSHRC Docket No. 08-1104

ORDER ON MOTION TO DISMISS (Proposed)

Pending before the Commission is Respondents' Motion to Dismiss Citation 2, Items 4 through 15. Respondents submit that the allegations contained in Citation 2, Items 4 through 15, which allege violations of 29 C.F.R. § 1910.22(a)(1) and (2), fail to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

1. The alleged violative condition alleges only the existence of a "hazardous accumulation" of combustible dust. While citations are frequently inartfully drawn and are not to be as tightly construed as other pleadings, the citations do need to provide appropriate notice to the employer as to the nature of the violation – both for purposes of defending against the citation and for properly abating the citation. *See, e.g., Alden Leeds, Inc. v. Occupational Safety and Health Review Comm*'n, 298 F.3d 256, 261 (3d Cir. 2002); *Marshall v. B.W. Harrison Lumber Co.*, 569 F.2d 1303, 1308 (5th Cir. 1978).

2. Because the citations at issue allege a hazard, but do not adequately describe or define it, the citations at issue do not provide the Respondents fair notice of the alleged violations and do not, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 658(a) and 29 C.F.R. § 1903.14(b) describe with particularity the nature of the alleged violations, the Commission hereby **GRANTS**

Respondents' Motion. Citation 2, Items 4 through 15 of the Complaint are hereby dismissed for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

SO ORDERED this _____ day of ______, 2009.

The Honorable Covette Rooney U.S. OSHRC Judge

Prepared By:

Charles H. Morgan charlie.morgan@alston.com Matthew J. Gilligan matt.gilligan@alston.com Ashley D. Brightwell ashley.brightwell@alston.com Jeremy D. Tucker jeremy.tucker@alston.com ALSTON & BIRD LLP 1201 West Peachtree Street Atlanta, Georgia 30309-3424 (404) 881-7000 FAX: (404) 253-8757

Attorneys for Respondents

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH REVIEW COMMISSION

SECRETARY OF LABOR,)
Complainant	
V.	
IMPERIAL SUGAR COMPANY; IMPERIAL-SAVANNAH, L.P.	
Respondents.	

OSHRC Docket No. 08-1104

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that all parties have consented that all papers required to be served in this action

may be served and filed electronically. I further certify that a copy of the within and foregoing

RESPONDENTS' PROPOSED ORDER ON MOTION TO DISMISS was electronically served

on February 26, 2009 on the following counsel:

Karen E. Mock Mock.Karen@dol.gov Angela F. Donaldson Donaldson.Angela@dol.gov Office of the Solicitor U.S. Department of Labor 61 Forsyth Street S.W. Room 7T10 Atlanta, Georgia 30303

> <u>/s/ Charles H. Morgan</u> Charles H. Morgan Alston & Bird LLP 1201 West Peachtree Street Atlanta, Georgia 30309-3424 charlie.morgan@alston.com